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#### Abstract

The molecular triangle $\left[\{\text { enPt(bpz-N4,N4') }\}_{3}\right]^{6+}\left(e n=\right.$ ethylenediamine; bpz $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyrazine $)$ has been crystallized as a $C_{2}$-symmetric species (1), as a compound of approximate $C_{3}$ symmetry, and as a mixture of both forms (1b). The two triangles differ in their topologies, their $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distances, and their anion binding properties. While for the $C_{2}$ form insertion of a single $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion in the central cavity is seen in $\mathbf{1 b}$, the $C_{3}$ forms of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ incorporate either two different anions simultaneously, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$(1a), or only a single $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}(\mathbf{1 b})$. Anion inclusion also occurs in solution as detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The molecular triangles $\mathbf{1 - 1 b}$ are the kinetic reaction products of enPt ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ and bpz . The thermodynamic product is the chelate $\left[\operatorname{enPt}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathbf{2 a})$ that is obtained from $\mathbf{1}$ upon prolonged heating in water. The all-cis geometry of the bpz ligands in the triangle ( $C_{3}$ form) can be locked by chelation of three enPd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ to the $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}$ sites. Hexanuclear $\left[\left\{\mathrm{enPt}\left(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pden}\right\}_{3}\right]^{12+}(\mathbf{3})$ has been reported by us before. Now the $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ analogue 4 , the $\mathrm{Pd}_{6}$ analogue 5, and the isomer of $\mathbf{3}$ with the two sorts of metals inverted (6) have been isolated and structurally characterized. All four compounds (3-6), which crystallize as mixed $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salts, have two different anions embedded in the cavity of the cations of +12 charge. Molecular triangles of the $C_{3}$ type can be fused by $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$cations via $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}$ positions to afford the higher-nuclearity compounds $\mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{Ag}_{2}$ (7) and $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Ag}_{3}$ (8). The latter encapsulates an additional $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and five $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anions in its interior (8a). X-ray crystal structures for $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}$, and $\mathbf{8 a}$ are reported.


## Introduction

Within the field now frequently termed "molecular architecture" efforts to utilize transition metal ions and organic ligands simultaneously have lately been particularly fruitful. As a result, a considerable variety of frequently predesigned molecular polygons and polyhedra has been established, ${ }^{1-10}$ and a methodology exists how to combine angular and linear building blocks to generate a particular ensemble. ${ }^{4-7}$ As far as the

[^0]smallest possible polygon, the triangle, is concerned, it should be formed most readily by combining three $60^{\circ}$ angular fragments and three linear ones (Scheme 1). ${ }^{5}$

However, as has correctly been noted, ${ }^{5 \mathrm{c}}$ the number of metalcontaining molecular triangles is "surprisingly small". Cyclic trinuclear metal complexes of type (A) have been prepared, among others, with heterocyclic ligands such as pyrazolate, ${ }^{9}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3},{ }^{11}$ and carborane. ${ }^{12}$ However, a triangle of type (B) with a $60^{\circ}$ turning angle at the metal does not exist due to the impossibility of generating such an angle in a metal fragment of common coordination numbers. ${ }^{13}$ Virtually all molecular triangles of type (B), with metal entities at the corners, display $80-90^{\circ}$ angles. ${ }^{14-25}$ Even a distorted tetrahedral geometry of
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Figure 1. Selected organic ligands which enable formation of cyclic trimers with $90^{\circ}$ metal entities at corners: (a) imidazolate, ${ }^{14}$ (b) dianion of resorcinol, ${ }^{15 \mathrm{a}}$ (c) 9-R-adeninate $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}\right.$ ), ${ }^{16,17}$ or 9-R-hypoxanthinate $(X=O),{ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$ (d) 1,3-bis(1-methylbenzimidazol-2-yl)benzol, ${ }^{18}$ (e) 4,4'bipyridine, ${ }^{19}$ (f) X-linked 4, $4^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-,-\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}-$, etc.), ${ }^{19}$ (g) $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyrazine, ${ }^{20,21}$ (h) $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(4-pyridylmethyl)-1,4-diammoniumbutane. ${ }^{22}$

## Scheme 1


the metal, e.g., $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II},}{ }^{26}$ is possible. It is the flexibility of the organic ligand that in essence enables formation of the triangle. A few selected ligands capable of forming triangles of type (B) are illustrated in Figure 1.

The ligand we had chosen was $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyrazine (bpz). It undergoes rotation about the central $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}$ bond ( 5.4 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), ${ }^{27}$ giving rise to cis and trans conformers. Since the ring nitrogen atoms are nonprotonated over a wide pH range ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ $\left.0.45 ; \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}-1.35\right),{ }^{28} \mathrm{bpz}$ is considered to be a rather versatile

[^2]
## Chart 1




ligand (Chart 1). In addition to the established chelation mode (i) via N 1 and $\mathrm{N1}^{\prime},{ }^{29}$ which we have confirmed, ${ }^{20}$ we have verified also mode (ii), which leads to a molecular triangle in the case of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{enPt}^{\mathrm{II}},{ }^{20}$ as well as mode (iii). ${ }^{21,30}$ Depending on the geometry of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$, either a hexanuclear, cup-shaped species forms ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ having a cis geometry) ${ }^{21}$ or a flat triangle ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ having a trans geometry). ${ }^{30,31}$ In both cases interesting anion binding properties are observed. As will be shown in this paper, the metal binding mode (iv) likewise can produce a molecular triangle with $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{enPt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ which is, however, of a different topology as far as the orientation of the bridging ligands is concerned.

## Results and Discussion

Flexibility of bpz Ligands in [\{enPt(bpz-N4,N4') $\left.\}_{3}\right]^{6+}(1)$. The solid-state structure of the nitrate salt of $\mathbf{1},[\mathbf{1}]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6},{ }^{20}$ had displayed trans conformations for all three bpz ligands (mode (ii) in Chart 1) and a $C_{2}$ symmetry of the cation. Inspection of the model reveals that this is just one structure (I) out of 10 possible ones (I-X), several of which are chiral (Chart 2). Formation of a hexanuclear derivate ${ }^{21}$ with arrangement (IX) of the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ fragment had suggested that a triangle with an all-cis configuration of bpz exists in solution, which automatically implies the (transient) existence of several of the other structures in solution. Low-temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of [1] $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}$ in DMF- $d_{7}$ reveal that below $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the original three sets of resonances of the bipyrazine protons $\left\{\mathrm{H} 3\left(\mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right)\right.$, H 5 (H5'), and $\mathrm{H} 6\left(\mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right)$ \} markedly broaden and lead to (at least) 10 distinguishable resonances at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The process is fully reversible upon raising the temperature (see Supporting Information, S1, S2). From the number of resonances observed and their relative intensities at low temperature it is clear that not only the two extremes with all-trans and all-cis arrangements of the three bridging bpz ligands occur in solution. One more form (mode (iv) in Chart 1) of the molecular triangle has now been structurally characterized: In $\left[\left\{\operatorname{enPt}(\text { bpz-N4,N4') }\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1 a})$ all three bpz ligands are arranged cis with respect to the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}$ bonds (IX), and in [ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{enPt}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]-$ $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1 b})$, cations with an all-trans conformation (I) and an all-cis conformation (IX) of the bpz ligands crystallize

## (29) Gerli, A.; Reedijk, J.; Lakin, M. T.; Spek, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1995,

 34, 1836.(30) Schnebeck, R.-D.; Freisinger, E.; Lippert, B. Chem. Commun. 1999, 675.
(31) It is noted that cis and trans refers here to geometrical isomerism about the metal $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ rather than to the ligand conformation about the $\mathrm{C} 2-$ C2' bond.

Chart 2


Table 1. Experimental Data for the X-ray Diffraction Studies of 1a and 1b and Selected Bond Lengths and Angles of 1a, 1b $\mathbf{1 a l l}_{\text {(altrans) }}$, and $\mathbf{1 b}_{\text {(all-cis) }}$
(a) Experimental Data for $\mathbf{1 a}$ and 1b

|  | 1a |  | 1b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula color, habit | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{23} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ <br> redish cubes |  | $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{105} \mathrm{O}_{22.5} \mathrm{~N}_{40} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{48} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ yellowish blocks |  |
| crystal system | triclinic |  | triclinic |  |
| space group |  |  |  |  |
| unit cell dimensions | $a=12.408(2)$ A | $\alpha=103.19(3)^{\circ}$ | $a=15.363(3) \AA$ | $\alpha=89.95(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $b=12.505(3) \AA$ | $\beta=91.56(3)^{\circ}$ | $b=18.948(4) \AA$ | $\beta=75.24(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\gamma=104.95(3)^{\circ}$ | $c=21.679(4) \AA$ | $\gamma=86.00(3)^{\circ}$ |
| volume ( ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ) | 2582.9(9) |  | 6086.7(21) | $\gamma$ 86.00(3) |
| Z | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| formula weight ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | 1779.92 |  | 4077.14 |  |
| temp (K) | 163(2) |  | 123(2) |  |
| final $R$ indices (obs data) | $R_{1}=0.0577$ | $w R_{2}=0.1423$ | $R_{1}=0.0731$ | $w R_{2}=0.1872$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.0875$ | $w R_{2}=0.1530$ | $R_{1}=0.1250$ | $w R_{2}=0.2223$ |

(b) Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg)

|  | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}_{\text {(all-trans) }}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}_{\text {(all-cis) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ | $7.881(2)$ | $9.279(9)$ | $7.657(2)$ |
|  | $7.924(3)$ | $9.460(3)$ | $7.922(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N} 4^{\prime}$ | $7.856(3)$ | $9.542(2)$ | $8.984(3)$ |
|  | $89.5(4)$ | $89.1(7)$ | $9.8(7)$ |
| trsional angles | $90.2(4)$ | $89.5(6)$ | $91.1(6)$ |
| of bpz ligands | $90.4(4)$ | $91.2(7)$ | $92.9(8)$ |
|  | $33(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $24(3)$ |

side by side (Table 1a). With respect to a simplified view of the molecular all-cis triangles seen in $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ and a reasonable description of their hexanuclear derivatives (see below), the following procedure is helpful (Chart 3): Starting out from a fragment of a cube (i) and truncating it perpendicular to the 3 -fold axis (ii), a species is generated which corresponds to the molecular triangle with the all-cis compound seen in 1a and one of the two different cations in $\mathbf{1 b}$.

Comparison of $\mathbf{P t}_{3}$ Triangles (I) and (IX). In Figures 2 and 3 and Table 1 b the two triangular cations are compared. There are a number of major structural differences between the alltrans structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$, as well as the all-cis structures in 1a and the second cation in 1b: First, the overall shape of the
two triangles and their dimensions are different. The all-trans compound provides the impression of a triangle more clearly than the all-cis compound, which looks more like a vase or a double cone, if the en ligands are considered. Second, Pt-Pt distances are significantly shorter in the all-cis structure (ca. $7.7-8.0 \AA$ ) than in the all-trans structures (ca. $9.4 \AA$ ). This is a consequence of the relative orientations of N 4 and $4^{\prime}$ in the two rotamers (Chart 1, (ii) and (iv)). Third, the two halves of bpz in the all-trans structures are markedly twisted (torsional angles ca. $15-39^{\circ}$ ) whereas in the all-cis structures one bpz ligand is roughly planar (ca. $2^{\circ}, 8^{\circ}$ ) while the other two are more strongly twisted (ca. 20-33). Fourth, angles between $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ planes and the bpz ligands are in the all-trans compound nearly


Figure 2. Views and dimensions of the cation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with all-cis conformations of the $2,2^{\prime}$-bpz ligands. Given dimensions refer to distances between C atoms, not protons.


Figure 3. Views and dimensions of the cation with all-trans conformations of bpz in $\mathbf{1 b}$. Given dimensions refer to distances between C atoms, not protons.

## Chart 3


$90^{\circ}$ and in the all-cis configuration between $130^{\circ}$ and $135^{\circ}$. Fifth, anions interact differently with the two rotamers (see below).

Anion Binding of 1a and $\mathbf{1 b}$ in the Solid-State and in Solution. There are remarkable differences in the solid state structures of the two molecular Pt triangles of bpz as far as interactions with anions are concerned. These findings may indeed be indicative of an important role of cation-anion contacts in stabilizing or even inducing a particular rotamer structure. The previously described all-trans rotamer $\mathbf{1}$ had been crystallized as the nitrate salt. ${ }^{20}$ No anion was located in the cavity of the triangle. However, the all-trans rotamer of the mixed $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salt $\mathbf{1 b}$ displays a $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion within the triangular cavity (Figure 4a). $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$is also inserted in the cavity of the all-cis rotamer in the very same compound $\mathbf{1 b}$ (Figure $4 b)$. There exist distinct differences in the way the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions are included in the cavities of the two rotamers. In the all-trans species a face of the octahedral $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion points toward the three Pt atoms, giving rise to long $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{F}$ distances (4.13(2)$4.98(2) \AA$ ), with P approximately $2.6 \AA$ above the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane. In contrast, in the all-cis species a single F ligand of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$points toward the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane ( $\left.\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \cdots \mathrm{~F} 2.23(2) \AA ; \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \cdots \mathrm{P} 3.64(1) \AA\right)$ while four equatorial F ligands of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$are directed toward the bpz


Figure 4. Space filling representations of cation-anion interactions: (a) all-trans conformer of $\mathbf{1 b}$ with $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$inserted into the cavity; (b) all-cis conformer of $\mathbf{1 b}$ with $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$; (c) all-cis conformer $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$; and (d) all-cis conformer $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$. In parts c and d both anions are bound simultaneously, but for clarity the respective other anion is omitted. Note the different orientations of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions in parts a and $b$.
ligands. Distances between F and the heteroaromatic C protons are $2.77(3)-3.23(4) \AA$, suggesting some very weak $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{F}$ hydrogen bonding. The crucial role of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$in supramolecular organization processes and anion-assisted self-assembly has been pointed out by Stoddart in a series of papers. ${ }^{32}$ As in these cases, the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions incorporated in our $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangles are not disordered, strongly suggesting a structural role of these anions that goes beyond their functions as counterions for charge neutralization.
The all-cis compound 1a, which was crystallized as a mixed $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$salt, shows both anions bound in the cavity of the trinuclear cation in the solid state. The $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion is lying approximately in the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane (Figure 4c), with oxygen atoms pointing toward the Lewis acidic Pt centers ( $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3.2(1)-$ $3.5(1) \AA$ ). The $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$anion is on top of the $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion, with Cl located approximately $3.5 \AA$ above the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane (Figure 4 d ). Although not in an ideal fashion, the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ face of the $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ion points toward the three Pt atoms (ca. 4.3-4.8 $\AA$ ). This situation is reminiscent of the situation in the previously described hexanuclear $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ compound of the all-cis rotamer. ${ }^{21}$

In summary, the all-cis triangle behaves as a versatile host for anions, incorporating trigonal-planar $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, tetrahedral $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$, and octahedral $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$, and even combining the first two anions. Apparently the longer $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ separations (ca. $9.4 \AA$ ) in the all-trans rotamer are not particularly favorable for inclusion of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$as in the case of the all-cis rotamer. Nevertheless, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ by itself seems not to be capable of forcing the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangle to adopt an all-cis arrangement, as evident from the crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}$, but a combination of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and tetrahedral $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ apparently stabilizes this form.

[^3]Table 2. Association Constants $\left(K_{\text {ass }}\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right] \pm 3 \sigma\right)$ for Anion Binding by 1 and $\mathbf{3}^{*}$

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3} * a$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ | $13.5 \pm 3.1$ | $10.6 \pm 3.9$ |
| $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | $32.8 \pm 7.7$ | $9.6 \pm 4.5$ |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | $42.1 \pm 11.7$ | $4.1 \pm 1.1$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $24 \pm 7.9$ | $255.8 \pm 57.3$ |

${ }^{a} 3^{*}$ pure nitrate compound of $\mathbf{3}$ (ref 21)

## Chart 4


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy has been applied to study anioncation interactions of $\mathbf{1}$. To solutions of the $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$salt $\mathbf{1}$ increasing amounts of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{NaClO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaBF}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ had been added and the changes in chemical shifts of the aromatic protons had been monitored (Supporting Information S3). In all cases averaged signals are observed only, meaning that rigid structures of the individual rotamers are not formed. The presence of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$causes a smooth highfield shift of the $\mathrm{H} 3\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ resonances of the bpz ligands ( $\Delta \delta \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, 0.05 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}, 0.15 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}, 0.03 \mathrm{ppm} ; 10$ equiv of salt each) and in the case of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$an additional slight downfield shift ( $\Delta \delta 0.01 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathrm{H} 5\left(\mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}\right)$. In contrast, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ causes shifts H5(H5') downfield by 0.05 ppm ( 10 equiv of salt). From these shifts, association constants have been determined (Table 2). Not unexpectedly, the absolute values are not particularly large as a consequence of the use of the polar solvent water. The data do not permit detailed conclusions concerning the effect of these anions on the rotamer distribution.

Conversion of Triangle 1 to Chelate 2a. $\left[\mathrm{enPd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$ reacts with bpz not to the triangular analogues of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 a}$, and 1b, but rather to the mononuclear species $\left[\mathrm{enPd}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+}$ (2). ${ }^{20}$ This finding had suggested that a mononuclear chelate complex with $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}$ metal binding is the thermodynamically favored product, and that the triangular species derived from enPt $t^{\mathrm{II}}$ are the kinetically favored ones. Indeed, heating $\left(100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ 1 in water for a prolonged period of time ( 14 d ) leads to a smooth and quantitative interconversion of $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow 3 \cdot 2 \mathbf{a}$ (Supporting Information). [enPt(bpz-N1,N1')]((%5Cleft.%5Cmathrm%7BNO%7D_%7B3%7D%5Cright)_{2}\) (2a) was characterized by X-ray crystallography (Supporting Information). Structural details of $\mathbf{2 a}$ are very similar to those of the analogous Pd compound $2 .{ }^{20}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal pattern of $\mathbf{2}$ resembles that of the analogous Pd chelate, ${ }^{20}$ and the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR resonance ( $-2749 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) is consistent with a $\mathrm{PtN}_{4}$ coordination sphere.

Hexanuclear Vases. As pointed out above, the all-cis structure of the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangle can be locked by chelating three enPd ${ }^{\text {II }}$ moieties via the N 1 and $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ positions. The resulting hexanuclear structure, ${ }^{21}\left[\left\{\mathrm{enPt}\left(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pden}\right\}_{3}\right]^{12+}$ (3), can be described as a cup or a flat vase with an equilateral Pt triangle at the bottom ( $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$, ca. $7.9 \AA$ each) and an almost isosceles $\mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ triangle at the rim ( $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pd}$, ca. 8.4, 8.6, $9.7 \AA$ ) and nearest $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pd}$ separations of ca. $6.8 \AA$. Thus, compared to the ideal situation depicted in Chart 3, in $\mathbf{3}$ the trigonal antiprism is somewhat compressed and slightly irregular (Chart 4). Applying two different synthetic routes we have now prepared


Figure 5. Space filling representations of $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ complex 4 with simultaneous incorporation of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$. The $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is viewed from the bottom of the cation (plane of $\mathrm{Pt} 1, \mathrm{Pt} 2, \mathrm{Pt} 3$ closest to viewer), whereas the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$is viewed from the top ( $\mathrm{Pt} 4, \mathrm{Pt} 5, \mathrm{Pt} 6$ triangle closest to viewer).
also the linkage isomer of $\mathbf{3}$, with the three metal triangles inverted (6), and in addition the corresponding $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}(\mathbf{4})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{6}$ (5) vases.
$\left.\left[\left\{\mathrm{enPt}\left(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pten}\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{7}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)\right)^{\circ} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ has been prepared in analogy to $\mathbf{3}$, starting from the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangle $\mathbf{1}$ and adding an excess of $\left[\operatorname{enPt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$ and suitable counteranions for crystallization. In contrast, $\left[\left\{\operatorname{enPd}\left(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1,-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{N} 1^{\prime}\right)$ Pden $\left.\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{8} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5) has been obtained from mononuclear $\mathbf{2}$ in the presence of an excess of $\left[\operatorname{enPd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$ according to:

$$
3\left[\mathrm{enPd}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+}+3\left[\operatorname{enPd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{5}
$$

Similarly, $\left[\left\{\right.\right.$ enPd $\left.\left(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}-\text { bpz-N1,N1')Pten }\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{7}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{5} \cdot$ $9.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ was prepared from mononuclear 2a and an excess of $\left[\operatorname{enPd}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$. The cations of $\mathbf{4 - 6}$ are depicted in the Supporting Information (S6-S8). They have a close structural analogy with $3 .{ }^{21}$ A comparison of salient structural features of all four compounds is given in Tables 3, parts $a$ and $b$.

The addition of three enM ${ }^{\text {II }}$ entities to the rim of the all-cis rotamer substantially enlarges the central cavity and increases the charge of the cation from +6 to +12 . All four complexes 3-6 were crystallized as mixed $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salts and display a rather similar anion binding pattern, ${ }^{21}$ with a nitrate anion in the bottom $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ plane and a hexafluorophosphate anion on top (Figure 5, compound 4). The remaining 10 anions form multiple H bonding interactions with the cation and the water molecules.

We have previously reported association constants between the mixed $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ complex 3 and different anions in water. ${ }^{21}$ Due to the instability of $\mathbf{4 - 6}$ in solution (see below), analogous measurements have not been performed with these compounds.

NMR Spectra. Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, aromatic region only) of the compounds described in this paper are provided in the Supporting Information (S9). The interpretation of the spectrum of the Pt chelate $\mathbf{2 a}$ is straightforward. The singlet at 9.80 ppm is attributed to $\mathrm{H} 3\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, and the doublets at 9.08 and $8.89 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} J_{5,6}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ to $\mathrm{H} 5\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} 6\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Differentiation of the latter two protons is made by differences in ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ coupling ( 40.0 Hz with $\mathrm{H} 6\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ ).

The aromatic bpz protons of the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ compound $\mathbf{1}$ consist of a singlet for $\mathrm{H} 3\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ at 9.33 ppm , a doublet at $9.10\left({ }^{3} J_{5,6}=\right.$ $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and a doublet of doublets at $8.84 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{5} J_{3,6}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, ${ }^{3} J_{5,6}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) for $\mathrm{H} 5\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} 6\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. A resonance at -2753 ppm in the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR spectrum confirms $\mathrm{PtN}_{4}$ coordination. In DMF- $d_{7}$ (ambient temperature) all three protons of $\mathbf{1}$ occur as broadened singlets at somewhat different chemical shifts (H3,(H3'), s 9.39 ppm ; H5,(H5'), d 9.41 ppm , ${ }^{3} J_{5,6}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{H} 6,\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, d 8.96 ppm ).

The $\mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ compound 4 has the $\mathrm{H} 3\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ singlet at 10.56 ppm and two doublets at 9.80 and $9.26 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} J_{5,6} 3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, assigned

Table 3. Experimental Data for the X-ray Diffraction Study of 4, 5, and 6 and Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for 3, 4, 5, and 6
(a) Experimental Data for $\mathbf{4}, 5$, and 6

|  | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{30} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{O}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{48} \mathrm{Pd}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{85.5} \mathrm{O}_{30.75} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{30} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ |
| color, habit | yellow cubes | yellow-green cubes | yellow-brown cubes |
| crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ |
| unit cell dimens | $a=16.992(3) \AA$ | $a=16.977$ (3) $\AA$ | $a=16.920$ (3) $\AA$ |
|  | $b=23.817(5) \AA$ ¢,$\beta=95.19(3)^{\circ}$ | $b=22.705(5) \AA$ ¢,$\beta=93.74(3)^{\circ}$ | $b=24.099(5) \AA, \beta=95.18(3)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $c=21.944(4) \AA$ | $c=22.737(5) \AA$ | $c=22.146(4) \AA$ |
| volume ( $\AA^{\text { }}$ ) | 8844.3(31) | 8844.4(31) | 8993.3(31) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| formula wt ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | 3236.65 | 2971.41 | 3074.17 |
| temp (K) | 126(2) | 133(1) | 143(2) |
| final $R$ indices (obs data) | $R_{1}=0.0774 ; w R_{2}=0.2063$ | $R_{1}=0.0506 ; w R_{2}=0.1115$ | $R_{1}=0.0937 ; w R_{2}=0.2266$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1168 ; w R_{2}=0.2338$ | $R_{1}=0.1095 ; w R_{2}=0.1341$ | $R_{1}=0.1161 ; w R_{2}=0.2583$ |
| goodness-of-fit (obs data) | 1.224 | 1.119 | 1.209 |

(b) Bond Lengths $(\AA$ ) and Angles (deg)

|  | $3^{a}$ | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{M}_{N 4, N 4^{\prime}}-\mathrm{M}_{N 4, N 4^{4}}\right)^{b}$ | 7.85(1) | 7.85(1) | 7.85(1) |
|  | 7.87(1) | 7.85(1) | 7.88(1) |
|  | 7.90(1) | 7.88(1) | 7.89(1) |
| $\left(\mathrm{M}_{N l, N l^{\prime}}-\mathrm{M}_{N l, N I^{\prime}}\right)^{c}$ | 8.39(1) | 9.12(1) | 8.32(1) |
|  | 8.55(1) | 9.86(1) | 8.55(1) |
|  | 9.77(1) | 10.05(1) | 9.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N} 4^{\prime}$ | 87.3(3) | 88(2) | 87.4(3) |
|  | 87.4(3) | 91(2) | 87.6(3) |
|  | 89.1(3) | 92(2) | 89.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N} 1^{\prime}$ | 80.7(3) | 81(2) | 80.6(3) |
|  | 80.8(3) | 81(2) | 80.9(3) |
|  | 81.2(3) | 82(3) | 80.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Nl}}-\mathrm{ONO}_{2}$ | 3.21(1) | 3.26(4) | 3.22(1) |
|  | 3.39 (1) | $3.29(4)$ | 3.41(1) |
|  | 3.49(1) | 3.43(5) | 3.48(1) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{N 1}-\mathrm{FPF}_{5}$ | 3.39(1) | 3.97(6) | 3.36(1) |
|  | 3.88(1) | 3.99(8) | 3.87(1) |
|  | 4.31(1) | 5.15(9) | 4.31(1) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{N 4}-\mathrm{FPF}_{5}$ | 3.87(1) | 4.18(5) | 3.86(1) |
|  | 4.61(1) | 4.27(9) | 4.45(1) |
|  | 4.42(1) | 4.61(8) | 4.56(1) |

${ }^{a}$ Data taken from ref 21. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ distances of metal ions coordinated via the $\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4{ }^{\prime}$ sites of $\mathrm{bpz} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ distances of metal ions coordinated via the $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}$ sites of bpz.
to $\mathrm{H} 5\left(\mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H} 6\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$. As expected from binding of two metal entities to bpz via $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}$, the chemical shifts of the aromatic protons of $\mathbf{4}$ are furthest downfield with respect to those of 2a and 1. The ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR spectrum shows two resonances of $1: 1$ intensities at -2704 and -2715 ppm , indicative of the two types of Pt atoms with a $\mathrm{PtN}_{4}$ environment each. Surprisingly 4 appears not to be particularly stable in solution, unlike the mixed $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ compound 3 .

The $\mathrm{Pd}_{6}$ species 5 and the mixed $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ compound 6 are essentially fully dissociated in solution. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of 5 indicates the presence of $\left[\mathrm{enPd}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\operatorname{enPd}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$, and the solution spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ displays resonances of the building blocks, $\left[\operatorname{enPt}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right]^{2+}(\mathbf{2 a})\right.$ and $\left[\mathrm{enPd}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$ only.

Linking $\mathbf{P t}_{3}$ Triangles via $\mathbf{A g}^{+}$. Previous reports on polymeric $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$complexes of pyrazine ${ }^{33}$ prompted us to also study reactions of the triangle 1 with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$. Of the isolated compounds, two representative examples of molecular species will be discussed in the following. Formation of the octanuclear compound $\left.\left[\left\{\text { enPt }\left(\text { bpz-N4, } \mathrm{N}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{3} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{8}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{4} \cdot 15.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

[^4](7) and of the decanuclear compound [\{enPt(bpz-N4,N4') $\}_{3^{-}}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\right)_{1.5}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{12} \cdot \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} \cdot 22 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad(\mathbf{8 a})$ are sketched in Chart 5.

The centrosymmetric cation of 7 is depicted in Figure 6a. As can be seen, all bpz rings are in cis configurations, but only two of the three bpz rings of a $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangle interact with Ag . The $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions adopt highly distorted octahedral cis- $\left(\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ coordination geometries, with the two oxygen donors coming from chelating nitrate anions. Angles about the Ag vary between 47(2) and $147(2)^{\circ}$. Selected structural features are listed in Table 4, parts a and b. Figure 6b gives a detailed structure, namely the central heteronuclear metallomacrocycle, which is an almost ideal molecular square with intermetallic distances of ca. $7.2 \AA$ and angles close to $90^{\circ}$. The bpz ligands interconnecting the four metal ions are essentially perpendicular to the square, unlike in many other molecular squares ${ }^{4,5}$ where some of the ligands are substantially tilted. In a way, 7 can thus be described as a heterometallic square spanned by two $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ containing handles. A remarkable feature of $\mathbf{7}$ is again its propensity to bind $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ anions in the two cavities provided by the bpz ligands in the cis conformation (Chart 5, top, and Figure 7). Although 7 crystallizes as a mixed $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salt, nitrate is not binding to the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane. Rather the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$is in an arrangement similar to that found with the all-cis rotamer in $\mathbf{1 b}$ (cf. Figure 4 b ). P is $3.74(2) \AA$ above the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane.


Figure 6. X-ray crystal structure of (a) cation 7 and (b) detail of the central molecular $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}_{2}$ square.

## Chart 5



In Figure 8 two views of the spherical cation $\mathbf{8 a}$ are given. It is composed of two $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ cups of $\mathbf{1}$ (with bpz in the all-cis conformation) which are connected via three $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ units on a mirror plane, and an additional $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$located within the central cavity. As with 7, the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions interconnecting the Pt triangles are bound to two pairs of $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}$ nitrogen atoms of a bpz ligand and to two oxygen atoms of a chelating or, taking into account the asymmetry of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$binding, semichelating nitrate anion. The overall shape of the cation $\mathbf{8}$ is that of a barrel, the interior of which can be accessed from either end (at $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ planes), but is otherwise almost closed (Figure 8, bottom). The analogy


Figure 7. Encapsulation of two $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions in the cavities of 7. The lower Pt-triangle is facing the viewer, while the upper one extends behind the plane of the paper.


Figure 8. (a) Molecular container 8a, consisting of $\mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{Ag}_{3}$ framework 8 and incorporated $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$(disordered $\mathrm{Ag}(4)$ ) as well as $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anions (N50, N100, N110 in the central cavity; N20 at the bottom and the top of the container). (b) Space filling representation (side view) and dimensions of $\mathbf{8}$. In the solid state, the nitrate anion bound to $\operatorname{Ag}(2)$ bridges to $\operatorname{Ag}(3)$ of a symmetry related cation $(x+1, y, z)$, thereby generating an infinite string of cations.
between 8 and an organic carcerand ${ }^{34}$ is obvious and although restricted to the solid state, also the analogy between $\mathbf{8 a}$ and a carceplex is justified.

Salient crystallographic data of 8a are provided in Table 5, parts a and b. The $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangles are close to equilateral ( $7.77 \AA$, av). The triangle formed by the three outer $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions is also

[^5]Table 4. Experimental Data for the X-ray Diffraction Study of 7 and Selected Bond Length and Angles of 7

| (a) Experimental Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula color, habit crystal system Space group unit cell dimens | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{115} \mathrm{O}_{45.5} \mathrm{~N}_{46} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{24} \mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \\ & \text { yellow cubes } \\ & \text { monoclinic } \\ & P 2 / c \\ & a=14.936(3) \AA \\ & b=13.216(3) \AA, \beta=95.43(3) \\ & c=31.407(6) \AA \end{aligned}$ | volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) 6 | 171.7(22) |
|  |  | Z |  |
|  |  | formula wt ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) 4 | 4175.14 |
|  |  | temp (K) 1 | 114(2) |
|  |  | final $R$ indices (obs data) $\quad R$ | $R_{1}=0.0528 ; w R_{2}=0.1276$ |
|  |  | $R$ indices (all data) $\quad R$ | $R_{1}=0.1058 ; w R_{2}=0.1702$ |
|  |  | goodness-of-fit (obs data) 1 | 1.121 |
| (b) Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) of 7 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(41)$ | 2.01(4) | $\mathrm{N}(41)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 3\right)$ | 89(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 3\right)$ | 2.03(4) | $\mathrm{N}(41)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{P})$ | 94(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.04(4) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 3\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{P})$ | 176(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.05(4) | $\mathrm{N}(41)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{P})$ | 177(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(22 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.02(5) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 3\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{P})$ | 94(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 1\right)$ | $2.02(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{P})$ | ) 83(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(42)$ | 2.02(4) | $\mathrm{N}(22 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 1\right)$ | 176(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(21 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.05(4) | $\mathrm{N}(22 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(42)$ | 92(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 1.99(4) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 1\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(42)$ | 92(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(31 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.00(5) | $\mathrm{N}(22 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(21 \mathrm{P})$ | ) 85(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(32 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.01(5) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 1\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(21 \mathrm{P})$ | 91(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(43)$ | 2.01(5) | $\mathrm{N}(42)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(21 \mathrm{P})$ | 177(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}$ | 2.38(5) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(31 \mathrm{P})$ | 178(2) |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 2.40(5) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(32 \mathrm{P})$ | 94(2) |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 2.42(4) | $\mathrm{N}(31 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(32 \mathrm{P})$ | ) 84(3) |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 1\right)^{a}$ | 2.46(5) | $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(43)$ | 88(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 2.50 (5) | $\mathrm{N}(31 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(43)$ | 94(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 2.59(5) | $\mathrm{N}(32 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(43)$ | 177(2) |
| $\operatorname{Pt}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Pt}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 7.678(4) | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 161(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Ag}(1)$ | 7.153(6) | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 104(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)$ | 7.164(6) | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 2\right)-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 69(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)$ | 7.189(5) | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 1\right)^{a}$ | ${ }^{a} \quad 68(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 7.225(6) | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 1\right)^{a}$ | a 96(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Ag}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | a) 88.2(1) | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 1\right)^{a}$ | 101.8(14) |
| $\operatorname{Pt}(2)-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2 a)$ | ) 91.8(1) | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 88(2) |
| $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(3)-\operatorname{Pt}(1)$ | 95.2(1) | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 111(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Ag}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | ) 103.5(1) | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 115(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 1) 47(2) | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 1\right)^{a}-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 140(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 102(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 91(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 147(2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime} 1\right)^{a}-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 106(2) |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1-x+2,-y+1,-z$.
Table 5. Experimental Data for the X-ray Diffraction Study of 8a and Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) of 8a

| (a) Experimental Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula |  | $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{128} \mathrm{O}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{52} \mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{Ag}_{4}$ |  | volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) |  | 6536.9(22) |  |
| color, habit |  | yellow cubes |  | Z |  | 2 |  |
| crystal system |  | monoclinic |  | formula wt ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) |  | 4300.16 |  |
| space group |  | $P 2_{1} / m$ |  | temp (K) |  | 123(2) |  |
| unit cell dimens |  | $a=15.030$ (3) $\AA$ ¢ |  | final $R$ indices (obs data) |  | $R_{1}=0.0801 ; ~ w R_{2}=0.2023$ |  |
|  |  | $b=22.002(4) \AA$ A, $\beta=99$ |  | $R$ indices (all data) |  | $R_{1}=0.1235 ; w R_{2}=0.2513$ |  |
|  |  | $c=20.016(4) \AA$ |  | goodness-of-fi | data) | 1.118 |  |
| (b) Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) of 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(34^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.00(2) | $\mathrm{N}\left(34^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 88.7(7) | $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 2.43(2) | $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}$ | 96.6(10) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 2.01(2) | $\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{E})$ | 174.8(8) | $\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.47(2) | $\mathrm{N}(11)-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | 66.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{E})$ | 2.01(2) | $\mathrm{N}(14)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{E})$ | 94.2(8) | $\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{O}(41)$ | 2.49(3) | $\mathrm{N}(11)^{a}-\mathrm{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | 136.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{E})$ | 2.04(2) | $\mathrm{N}(12 \mathrm{E})-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11 \mathrm{E})$ | 83.6(8) | $\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | 2.39(2) | $\mathrm{N}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Ag}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(11^{\prime}\right)^{a}$ | 98.0(9) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}(24)$ | 1.98(2) | $\mathrm{N}(24)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}\left(14^{\prime}\right)$ | 89.0(7) | $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}\left(21^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.44(2) | $\mathrm{N}(21)-\operatorname{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{N}\left(21^{\prime}\right)$ | 68.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{N}\left(14^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.04(2) | $\mathrm{N}\left(24^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(34)$ | 90.9(8) | $\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(61)$ | 2.51(4) | $\mathrm{N}\left(31^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 67.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}\left(24^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.03(2) |  |  | $\operatorname{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{N}\left(31^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.41(2) | $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{O}(102)$ | 137(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{N}(34)$ | 2.04(2) |  |  | $\mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{O}(63)^{b}$ | 2.44(4) | $\mathrm{O}(52)-\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{O}(111)$ | 94.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ | 7.841(2) |  |  | $\operatorname{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 2.47(2) | $\mathrm{O}(102)-\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\mathrm{O}(111)$ | 127(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)$ | 7.712(3) |  |  | $\operatorname{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{N}(31)^{a}$ | 2.47(2) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)$ | 7.784(2) |  |  | $\mathrm{Ag}(4)-\operatorname{Ag}(4)^{a}$ | 1.31(2) |  |  |

$$
{ }^{a} x,-y+1 / 2, z . \quad{ }^{b} x-1, y, z
$$

roughly equilateral ( $9.62 \AA$, av). The three sets of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{3}$ triangles are close to parallel with distances of $5.24 \AA$ A between $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{3}$ and $10.48 \AA$ between the two $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangles.

There are several structural details of $\mathbf{8 a}$ which are of interest. First, the central cavity hosts a silver cation and in addition
three nitrate anions. The $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion is disordered over two positions at either side of the mirror plane (deviation from plane is $0.65(1) \AA$ ), and three nitrate anions, with their planes perpendicular to the central $\mathrm{Ag}_{3}$ plane, bind to the disordered $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$. Second, there are two additional $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions, one at either
end of the barrel. These $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions are close to the Pt triangles, yet not in the plane of these. Rather $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$planes form an angle of $49(2)^{\circ}$ with one of the nitrate oxygen atoms pointing toward the disordered $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$in the central cavity $(\mathrm{O}(23)-$ $\mathrm{Ag}(4), 3.92(5) \AA)$. Thus altogether five $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions are associated with the cation of $\mathbf{8 a}$ to reduce the high positive charge of +16 . In the crystal lattice, adjacent cations of $\mathbf{8 a}$ are bridged by a $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anion, which (semi-) chelates both $\mathrm{Ag}(2)(\mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(61)$, $2.51(4) \AA ; \mathrm{Ag}(2)-\mathrm{O}(62), 2.63(4) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Ag}(3)$ of the symmetry related cation $(x+1, y, z ; \operatorname{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{O}(62), 2.65(4) \AA ; \mathrm{Ag}(3)-\mathrm{O}(63)$, $2.44(4) \AA)$. In this way cations of $\mathbf{8 a}$ are arranged along the $x$-axis like beads on a string. The centers of these beads are 15 $\AA$ apart, but the closest intermetallic distance, between the $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ bridged $\mathrm{Ag}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Ag}(3)$ sites, is 5.273(5) $\AA$. The second cation 8a within the unit cell is part of another string of beads which runs antiparallel to the former. Two strings are approximately $16 \AA$ apart (center to center of cations $\mathbf{8}$ ). $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$anions not associated with the complex cations as well as water molecules fill the space between the strings and are multiply interconnected through H bonds.

## Conclusions

Complex formation of enPt ${ }^{\text {II }}$ with $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyrazine (bpz) leads in a kinetically controlled reaction and in reasonable yield to a cyclic trimer of composition $\left[\{\text { enPt }(\text { bpz-N4,N4' })\}_{3}\right]^{6+}$, 1. Due to the low barrier of rotation about the central $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}$ bond of the bpz ligand and because of monodentate binding of each pyrazine half to the heavy metal, the molecular triangle $\mathbf{1}$ is rather flexible. This feature makes $\mathbf{1}$ different from other triangles with spatially fixed donor sites at the bridging ligands which do not permit large torsional variations. ${ }^{14,16,23} \mathbf{1}$ has been crystallized in two distinctly different forms, with an all-trans orientation of the two pyrazine entities ( $C_{2}$ molecular symmetry) and an all-cis arrangement of the pyrazine rings (approximately $C_{3}$ symmetry). There is indirect evidence from low-temperature solution NMR studies that intermediates between these two forms exist. In compound $\mathbf{1 b}$, all-cis and all-trans forms crystallize side by side. The two forms differ markedly in intermetallic distances. $\mathbf{1}$ is of interest for several reasons. First, in its all-cis form it behaves as an efficient ligand toward additional metal electrophiles such as enPt ${ }^{\text {II }}$, enPd ${ }^{\mathrm{II}}$, and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, affording highly charged multinuclear species of different topologies, with vases, a paddle wheel, and a barrel crystallographically characterized. Second, both kinds of triangles and the higher nuclearity derivatives of the all-cis conformer display pronounced affinities for anions, hence act as positively charged anion receptors. ${ }^{35}$ Receptor specificity appears to depend on the shape and dimensions of the cavity provided by the Pt triangle, although the anion by itself may play an active role in switching one conformer into another. This latter possibility is ruled out in the hexanuclear vases, however. The anion binding pattern is particularly interesting with the all-cis conformer of $\mathbf{1}$ in that it either incorporates two different anions simultaneously, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ and $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$, or a single $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$only. The feature of simultaneous binding of two different anions, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$, is also seen with the hexanuclear vases $3-6$. Third, the container complex $\mathbf{8 a}$, an inorganic version of a carceplex, has both a cation $\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right)$ and anions $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$incorporated in the interior. Fourth, the kinetic product 1 can be cleanly converted into its thermodynamic product, which is the chelate $\left[\operatorname{enPt}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathbf{2 a})$. Although the synthetic potential of $\mathbf{2 a}$ has not yet been explored by us, it is feasible that it functions as a similar building block
as $\left[\operatorname{enPd}\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathbf{2})$, which is the starting material for another type of molecular triangles, ${ }^{30}$ containing metal ions at the corners and at the centers of the sides.

## Experimental Section

Preparations. enPdCl ${ }_{2},{ }^{36} \mathrm{enPtCl}_{2},{ }^{37}$ and $\mathrm{pz}^{38}$ were prepared according to the references. Compound $\left[\left\{\text { enPt }\left(\text { bpz- } 44, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}(\mathbf{1})$ was prepared in a slightly modified version $\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of that previously reported in ref 20 , now in yields of $65 \%$. The preparation of $\mathbf{2}$ is also given in ref 20. The pure nitrate compound $[$ enPd $($ bpz-N1,N1' $)]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathbf{2}^{*}\right)$ was prepared in a manner analogous to $\mathbf{2}$ in solution except for the addition of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$. The hexanuclear $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ complex 3 (mixed $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salt) and its pure $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$salt $3^{*}$ were prepared as described in ref 21 .

We note that due to rapid loss of water of crystallization, elemental analysis data of the compounds described below frequently indicated a lower water content than was established by X-ray analysis.
$\left[\left\{\text { enPt }\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1a) and $[\{\mathrm{enPt}(\mathrm{bpz}-$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{N} 4, \mathbf{N 4}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{4} \cdot \mathbf{5 . 2 5 H _ { 2 }} \mathbf{O}$ (1b). The trinuclear compound $\mathbf{1 -}$ $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After addition of 4 equiv of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ the mixture was concentrated to 5 mL under vacuum and filtered. Colorless crystals of 1a (1b) were isolated in $82 \%(85 \%)$ yield after 7 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for the dihydrate of $1 \mathbf{1 a}\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{20} \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, $20.0 ; \mathrm{H}$, 2.6; N, 15.6. Found: C, 20.0; H, 2.5; N, 15.6. Monohydrate of 1b $\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{20} \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, 18.3; H, 2.3; N, 14.3. Found: C, 18.0; H, 2.7; N, 14.6.
$\left[\operatorname{enPt}\left(\mathbf{b p z - N 1 , N 1} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{N O}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (2a). The kinetic reaction product $\mathbf{2}$ converts into the thermodynamic product $\mathbf{2 a}$ during heating of a solution $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of 2 for 14 days at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for 2a ( $\mathrm{PtN}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ ): Anal. Calcd: C, 22.4; H, 2.6; N, 20.9. Found: C, 22.4; H, 2.6; N, 20.9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{TSP}$ ): $\delta 2.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$; $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 8.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{5,6}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$; arom.), $9.08\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{5,6}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$; arom.), 9.81 (d, $1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$; arom.). ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR ( $43 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta-2749$ $\left(\mathrm{PtN}_{4}\right)$.
[ $\left.\{\text { enPt(N4,N4'-bpz-N1,N1')Pten }\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathbf{N O}_{3}\right)_{7}\left(\mathbf{P F}_{6}\right)_{5} \cdot \mathbf{4} \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{O}$ (4). enPtCl ${ }_{2}$ ( $56.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.174 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 1 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(56.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311 \mathrm{mmol})$. After removal of AgCl by filtration and addition of $\mathbf{1}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the filtrate, the mixture was stirred for 3 days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The orange reaction mixture was filtered and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Yellow-orange crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ were isolated in $23 \%$ yield after 7 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for the anhydrous form of 4 $\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{30}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, 13.7; H, 2.1; N, 13.7. Found: C,13.6; H, 2.6; N, 13.7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{TSP}$ ): $\delta$ 2.7 (s, $8 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 9.26 (d, ${ }^{3} J_{5,6}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$; arom.), $9.81\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{5,6}=\right.$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$; arom.), 10.56 (s, 2 H ; arom.). ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR ( $43 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta-2704$ and $-2715\left(\mathrm{PtN}_{4}\right)$.
[ $\left.\left\{\text { enPd(N4, } \mathbf{N 4}^{\prime} \text {-bpz-N1,N1')Pden }\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{8} \cdot \mathbf{5 H _ { 2 }} \mathbf{O}$ (5). enP$\mathrm{dCl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.421 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 3 h at room temperature with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.801 \mathrm{mmol})$. After removal of AgCl by filtration and addition of $\mathbf{2}^{*}(0.400 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the filtrate, the mixture was stirred for 1 day at room temperature. The orange reaction mixture was filtered and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Yellow-orange crystals of $\mathbf{5}$ were isolated in $83 \%$ yield after 5 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for the anhydrous form of $\mathbf{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{28} \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{48}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, 15.0; H, 2.3; $\mathrm{N}, 13.6$. Found: C, 15.0; H, 2.4; N, 13.6.
$\left[\left\{\text { enPd }\left(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Pten}\right\}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{7}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{5} \cdot 9.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{6})$ was prepared by combining an aqueous solution of the mononuclear 2a with 1 equiv of $\left[e n P d\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$ which had been prepared from enPdCl ${ }_{2}$ in the same manner as described above. The orange reaction mixture was stirred for 1 day at room temperature and filtered and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(8$ equiv) was added. Yellow-orange crystals of $\mathbf{6}$ were isolated in $81 \%$ yield after 5 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for the hexahydrate form of $6\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{31} \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{30}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, 14.4; H, 2.6; N, 14.4. Found: C, 14.3; H, 2.7; N, 14.4.

[^6][^7]$\left[\left\{\text { enPt }(\text { bpz-N4,N4' })_{3} \mathbf{A g N O}_{3}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{8}\left(\mathbf{P F}_{6}\right)_{4} \cdot \mathbf{1 5 . 5 H}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right.$ (7). 2 (100 $\mathrm{mg} 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ then $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(14.7 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.058 mmol ) was added with stirring for 1 day. After filtration yellow crystals of 7 were isolated in $50 \%$ yield after 3 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for $7\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{46} \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{115} \mathrm{O}_{45.5} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{24}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, 17.3; H , 2.8; N, 15.5. Found: C, 17.4; H, 2.7; N, 15.3.
[ $\left.\left\{\text { enPt }\left(\mathrm{bpz}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{N4}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{3} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\right]_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{12} \cdot \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{2 2 H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (8a). 2 (100 $\mathrm{mg} 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(0.198 \mathrm{mg}, 0.116 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 5 mL of water and stirred for 1 day at room temperature. 8a was isolated as yellow crystals in $90 \%$ yield after 7 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis for the tetrahydrate form of $\mathbf{8 a}\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{6} \mathrm{Ag}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{52} \mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{52}\right)$ : Anal. Calcd: C, 18.1; H, 2.3; N, 18.3. Found: C, 18.2; H, 2.4; N, 18.2.

Association Constants. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured at constant temperature $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and constant $\mathrm{pD}(2.9)$. The various salts $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{NaClO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaBF}_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ were added to the pure nitrate salt of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}^{*}$, respectively ( $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ), with increasing concentrations ( 1 to 10 equiv). Three independent measurements were performed for each salt and changes in chemical shifts of individual bpz resonances were monitored in a similar way as previously reported for $3 * .{ }^{21}$ The association constants ( $K_{\text {ass }}$ ) were determined according to ref 39 with a nonlinear least-squares method. The individual results did not differ from each other within the error limits. $K_{\text {ass }}$ (standard deviation $3 \sigma$ ) is the weighted mean of the results of the three independent measurements.

Instrumentation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Bruker AC 200 FT NMR spectrometer in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (with TSP as internal standard) or DMF- $d_{7}$, respectively. Elemental analyses were performed with a Carlo Erba Model 1106 Strumentazione Element-Analyzer.

X-ray Crystal Structure Determinations. Intensity data for 1a, 1b, 2a, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8a were collected on an Enraf-Nonius KappaCCD $^{40}$ (Mo K $\alpha, \lambda=0.71069 \AA$ A, graphite-monochromator) with sample-to-detector distances of 28.7 (5), 30.2 (2a), 30.7 (4), 32.7 (8a), 34.7 (1a), and $35.7 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7})$, respectively. They covered the whole sphere of reciprocal space by measurement of $360(1061$ for $1 \mathbf{1 b})$ frames rotating about $\omega$ in steps of $1^{\circ}$. The exposure times were $20(\mathbf{2 a}), 30$ (6), $40(\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b}), 45(\mathbf{4}), 50(7, \mathbf{8 a})$, and $60 \mathrm{~s}(\mathbf{5})$ per frame. Preliminary orientation matrices and unit cell parameters were obtained from the peaks of the first 10 frames, respectively, and refined using the whole

[^8]data set. Frames were integrated and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects using DENZO. ${ }^{41}$ The scaling as well as the global refinement of crystal parameters were performed by SCALEPACK. ${ }^{41}$ Reflections, which were partly measured on previous and following frames, are used to scale these frames on each other. Merging of redundant reflections in part eliminates absorption effects and also considers a crystal decay if present.

The structures were solved by standard Patterson methods ${ }^{42}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares based on $F^{2}$ using the SHELXTLPLUS ${ }^{43}$ and SHELXL-93 programs. ${ }^{44}$ The scattering factors for the atoms were those given in the SHELXTL-PLUS program. Transmission factors were calculated with SHELXL-97.45 Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and refined with isotropic displacement parameters according to the riding model, except for the aliphatic hydrogen atoms of the ethylenediamine in $\mathbf{4}$, which were not further refined. Part of the anions and water molecules in all structures are disordered.
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